

A NOTE ON THE ESTIMATION OF VARIANCE OF INTRA-SIRE REGRESSION HERITABILITY

V. T. PRABHAKARAN, J. P. JAIN and P. M. RAMESAN
IASRI New Delhi-110.012

(Received : February, 1989)

SUMMARY

For the variance $4(1 - \beta^2)/(N - s - 2)$ of intra-sire regression heritability coefficient two estimators can be obtained by replacing β by its BLUE or by its MLE. These two estimators are compared for their relative efficiencies. It is concluded that either of the two could be used with equal advantage.

Keywords: Heritability; Intra-sire regression; Estimators for variance; Mean-squared error; Relative efficiency.

Introduction

Intra-sire regression heritability (h^2) is considered the most reliable measure of additive genetic variability in farm animals. The estimate in this case is obtained by pooling the regression within sire groups in a weighted average. Prabhakaran and Jain [1] have shown that the usual expression for variance of \hat{h}^2 is not tenable in view of the random sampling of parents involved and suggested a new expression for the unconditional variance and discussed the procedure for its estimation. The estimator as proposed by these workers is obtained by replacing $\beta = h^2/2$ in the expression for variance by its unbiased estimate b , the estimated intra-sire regression coefficient. The purpose of this paper is to give a new variance estimator by using the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of β in place of β and compare its efficiency relative to the one proposed earlier.

2. The Estimators and their MSE

The expression for unconditional variance as given by Prabhakaran and Jain [1] is

$$V(h_R^2) = 4(1 - \beta^2)/(N - s - 2) \tag{1}$$

where,

N = the number of paired observations

s = the number of sires considered

$$E(h_R^2) = h^2 \text{ and } \beta = \rho = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x^2}$$

assuming equality in the phenotypic variance among progeny (σ_y^2) and dam (σ_x^2)

Let W_{xx} , W_{yy} be the error line (within sires) corrected sum of squares of x and y respectively and W_{xy} , the corresponding sum of products in the analysis of covariance based on the usual random linear model,

$$y_{ij} = s_i + \beta x_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

Under the above set-up, the variance estimator of Prabhakaran and Jain [1] is

$$V_1(h_R^2) = \frac{4}{(N - s - 2)} \left[1 - (W_{xy}^2/W_{xx})^2 \right] \tag{2}$$

where $(W_{xy}/W_{xx}) = b$ is the usual intra-sire regression coefficient. The estimator now proposed is,

$$V_2(h_R^2) = \frac{4}{(N - s - 2)} \left[1 - 4W_{xy}^2/(W_{xx} + W_{yy})^2 \right] \tag{3}$$

obtained on replacing β in expression (1) by its MLE,

$$2W_{xy}/(W_{xx} + W_{yy})$$

Bias, B_1 due to $V_1(h_R^2)$ is seen to be,

$$B_1 = \frac{4}{(N-s-2)} \left[1 - E(W_{xy}^2/W_{xz}^2) \right] - \frac{4}{(N-s-2)} (1 - \beta^2)$$

Since $E(W_{xy}^2/W_{xz}^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b^2 f(b) db$,

$$f(b) = \frac{\sigma_a \left[1 + \frac{(b - \beta)^2 \sigma_a^2}{\sigma_y^2 (1 - \rho^2)} \right]^{-\frac{(N-s+1)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_y^2 (1 - \rho^2)} \cdot B\left(1/2, \frac{N-s}{2}\right)}$$

$$B_1 = -4(1 - \beta^2)/(N - s - 2)$$

For obtaining approximate bias B_2 due to $V_2(h_x^2)$ we proceed as follows. Let

$$W_{xy} = E(W_{xy}) + e_1$$

$$W_{xz} = E(W_{xz}) + e_2$$

$$W_{yy} = E(W_{yy}) + e_3$$

Noting that,

$$E(W_{xy}) = (N-s)\beta\sigma^2$$

$$E(W_{xz}) = (N-s)\sigma^2$$

$$E(W_{yy}) = (N-s)\sigma^2,$$

$$E \left[\frac{2W_{xy}}{W_{xz} + W_{yy}} \right]^2 = \beta^2 E \left[\frac{(1 + e_1/k)^2}{\left\{ \frac{1 + (e_2 + e_3)\beta}{k} \right\}^2} \right]$$

where $k = (N-s)\beta\sigma^2$

$$= \beta^2 + (\beta^2/k^2) E(e_1^2) - (2\beta^2/k^2) E(e_1 e_2 + e_1 e_3) - (B^4/4k^2) E(e_2^2 + e_3^2 + 2e_2 e_3)$$

The expectations appearing in this expression are in fact,

$$E(e_1^2) = V(W_{xy}) = (N-s)(1 + \beta^2)\sigma^4$$

$$E(e_2^2) = V(W_{xx}) = 2(N-s)\sigma^4$$

$$E(e_3^2) = V(W_{yy}) = 2(N-s)\sigma^4$$

$$E(e_1e_2) = \text{Cov}(W_{xy}, W_{xx}) = 2(N-s)\beta\sigma^4$$

$$E(e_1e_3) = \text{Cov}(W_{xy}, W_{yy}) = 2(N-s)\beta\sigma^4$$

$$E(e_2e_3) = \text{Cov}(W_{xx}, W_{yy}) = 2(N-s)\beta^2\sigma^4$$

Accordingly we get

$$E[4W_{xy}/(W_{xx} + W_{yy})^2] = \beta^2 + (1 - 8\beta^2 - \beta^4)/(N-s) \text{ and } B_2 \text{ is equal to } -4(1 - 8\beta^2 - \beta^4)/(N-s-2)(N-s) \quad (4)$$

Approximate variance of $V_1(h_R^2)$ is,

$$V(W_{xx}^2/W_{xx}^2) = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial W_{xx}}\right)^2 V(W_{xx}) + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial W_{yy}}\right)^2 V(W_{yy}) + 2\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial W_{xx}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial W_{yy}}\right) \text{Cov}(W_{xx}, W_{yy})$$

where

$$T = \frac{W_{xy}^2}{W_{xx}^2}$$

$\frac{\partial T}{\partial W_{xx}}$, the partial derivative of T w. r. t. W_{xx} etc. and W_{xx} , W_{yy} and W_{xy} are to be replaced by their expectations.

This formula on evaluation gives,

$$V[V_1(h_R^2)] = 64\beta^2(1-\beta^2)/(N-s-2)^2(N-s) \quad (5)$$

Proceeding in a similar fashion we find,

$$V[V_2(h_R^2)] = 64\beta^2(1-\beta^2)^2/(N-s-2)^2(N-s) \quad (6)$$

The squared bias being negligible for both B_1 and B_2 we see that the mean-squared errors (MSE) involved are equal to the approximate variances of the estimators given in expressions (5) and (6). It follows immediately that,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Efficiency of } V_1(h_R^2) &= \bar{V}_2(h_R^2) / V_1(h_R^2) \\ &= (1 - \beta^2)\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

3. Conclusion

It may be observed that when the population heritability (h^2) is 0.10 ($\beta = 0.05$) the estimator $V_1(h_R^2)$ is as efficient as the MLE based estimator $V_2(h_R^2)$ and at $h^2 = 0.8$ the efficiency goes down (marginally) to 85%. But such highly heritable characters are seldom encountered in animal breeding work. Hence either of the two estimators could be used with equal advantage.

REFERENCE

- [1] Prabhakaran, V. T. and Jain, J. P. (1987) : On the variance of heritability coefficient obtained from intra-sire regression. *The Statistician*, 36 : 25-26.